

### over Knowledge Graphs

Mikhail Galkin PhD, Research Scientist TU Dresden & Fraunhofer IAIS

Dresden



Ømichael\_galkin



### Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Graphs
- Large KGs:
  - Template-based
  - Pipeline-based
- Smaller KGs: Neural Reasoning
  - Neural and Multi-Hop QA
  - Query Embedding



Dresden

IAIS

### About

### SPEAKER

Industrial Speech Assistance Platform

- → ConvAl
- → Question Answering
- → Knowledge Graphs
- → Speech
- → Privacy
- → Customizable & Domain-specific



# On the definition of a Knowledge Graph

Given entities E, relations R, KG is a directed multi-relational graph G that comprises triples (s, p, o)  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{E} imes \mathcal{R} imes \mathcal{E} \ (s, p, o) \in \mathcal{G}$ 

"Abstract schema and instances"

- \* describes entities and relations
- \* defines a schema
- \* interrelating arbitrary entities
- \* various topical domains

"Every RDF / LPG / RDF\* graph is a knowledge graph"

#### Graph-structured world model

# World models?

#### Entities and relations define our **domain of discourse**

How to encode it?



### **On representation of Knowledge Graphs**



s,p,o p(s,o) (s,p,o)



### **On representation of Knowledge Graphs**



### **Symbolic: Triples**



RDJ	
RDJ	
Sherlock_	Holmes
Sherlock	Holmes

dbp:residesSFdbp:bornNYdbp:studioWBdbp:starringRDJ

Avengers Avengers Iron\_Man Iron\_Man

dbp:studio
dbp:starring
dbp:studio
dbp:starring

Marvel . RDJ . Marvel . RDJ .

# **Symbolic: Description Logics**

Based on logical formalisms, e.g., Description Logics (DL), RDFS, OWL





# KG Embeddings: PyKEEN 1.0



#### **PyKEEN**

#### build passing License MIT DOI 10.5281/zenodo.3982977 Optuna integrated

https://github.com/pykeen/pykeen



#### 📈 Benchmarked!

→ PyTorch 😍

7 losses

6 metrics

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

6 optimizers

5 regularizers

2 training loops

2 negative samplers

Tracking in MLFlow, WANDB

 $\rightarrow$  13 datasets + your own graphs

 $\rightarrow$  23 KG embedding models and counting

Ali et al. Bringing Light Into the Dark: A Large-scale Evaluation of Knowledge Graph Embedding Models Under a Unified Framework. arxiv:2006.13365

# **Building KGs**



### **Graph Databases**

RDF



#### LPG (Labeled Property Graph)



- Query language: SPARQL
- Predicate attributes only from RDFS/OWL
- Semantic schema
- Logical reasoning

- Query languages: Cypher, Gremlin, GraphQL
- Key-value predicate attributes
- Non-semantic schema
- No reasoning

# **Graph Databases - Queries**

SPARQL	Cypher
<pre>SELECT ?s ?friend WHERE {     ?s a :Person;         :name "John";         :knows ?friend .}</pre>	<pre>MATCH (s:Person)-[:knows]-(friend) WHERE s.name = "John" RETURN s, friend ;</pre>



# **Graph Databases - Queries**

SPARQL* (Reification)	Cypher
<pre>SELECT ?s WHERE {   &lt;<?s :knows :js>&gt; :since 2001 }</pre>	MATCH (s:Person)-[:knows {since:2001}] -> (js) RETURN s;



### **Knowledge Graphs in the Wild**



### **Knowledge Graphs in the Wild**



### www.wikidata.org

open

free

#### Welcome to Wikidata

the free knowledge base with 90,761,294 data items that anyone can edit.

collaborative

Introduction • Project Chat • Community Portal • Help

Want to help translate? Translate the missing messages.

90M+entities(nodes)1150M+statements(edges)6K+properties(edge types)

linked

structured



### Wikidata Data Model

### **Question Answering**



Comprehension

### **Question Answering**



### ✓ Simple KGQA

#### Pretty much solved!



Lukovnikov et al. Pretrained Transformers for Simple Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs. ISWC 2019



Lukovnikov et al. Pretrained Transformers for Simple Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs. ISWC 2019

### **Complex KGQA**

Where was the author of the theory of relativity educated?



Large KGs (Wikidata-scale)

Smaller KGs

>1M triples

Build SPARQL queries Execute against a graph database

Supervised ML methods as certain pipeline components

#### < 1M triples

In-memory neural reasoning Graph embeddings

End-to-end or mostly neural

#### Large KGs (Wikidata-scale)

#### **Smaller KGs**

#### **Pre-defined SPARQL templates**

#### Neural Multi-Hop Reasoning

#### NL -> SPARQL pipelines

#### **Query Embedding**

#### Large KGs (Wikidata-scale)

Smaller KGs

#### **Pre-defined SPARQL templates**

#### **Neural Multi-Hop Reasoning**

#### NL -> SPARQL pipelines

**Query Embedding** 

# Pre-defined SPARQL templates

### **Template-based QA**



Unger et al. Template-based Question Answering over RDF Data. WWW 2012

# Pre-defined SPARQL templates

### **Template-based QA**



# Pre-defined SPARQL templates

### **Template-based QA**

#### Pros

- Independent of the KG size
- Fast & parallelizable
- Explainable query results

#### Cons

- Manual curation of templates
   (100+ is already hard to sustain)
- Each new question formulation will require a **new** template
- Hard-coded to the KG schema (ontology)

#### NL -> SPARQL pipelines

### **Pipelines: Semantic Parsing**



#### NL -> SPARQL pipelines



# **Pipelines: QAmp**



Figure 1: (a) A sample question *Q* highlighting different components of the question interpretation model: references and matched URIs with the corresponding confidence scores, along with (b) the illustration of a sample KG subgraph relevant to this question. The URIs in **bold** are the correct matches corresponding to the KG subgraph.

Vakulenko et al. Message Passing for Complex Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs. CIKM 2019

### **Pipelines: QAmp**

- Storage & Querying & subgraph retrieval: <u>HDT</u>
- Entity Linking: ElasticSearch + FastText



Approach	Р	R	F	Runtime
WDAqua	0.22*	0.38	0.28	1.50 s/q
QAmp (our approach)	0.25	0.50	0.33	0.72 s/q

Figure 5: Processing times per question from the LC-QuAD test split (Min: 0.01s Median: 0.68s Mean: 0.72s Max: 13.97s)

### **Pipelines: Krantikari**



Idea: (1) mine core chains (relation paths) from a KG; (2) re-rank the chains using slot attention

Name some movies starring Beirut born male actors?



(a) Question, and corresponding Query Graph

Maheshwari, Trivedi et al. Learning to Rank Query Graphs for Complex Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs. ISWC 2019

### **Pipelines: Krantikari**

	LC-QuAD				QALD-7					
	CCA	MRR	Ρ	R	F1	CCA	MRR	Ρ	R	F1
BiLSTM [9]	0.61	0.70	0.63	0.75	0.68	0.28	0.41	0.20	0.36	0.26
CNN [11]	0.44	0.55	0.49	0.61	0.54	0.31	0.45	0.20	0.33	0.25
DAM [16]	0.57	0.66	0.59	0.72	0.65	0.28	0.40	0.20	0.36	0.26
HRM [24]	0.62	0.71	0.64	0.77	0.70	0.28	0.40	0.15	0.31	0.20
Slot-Matching (LSTM)	0.63	0.72	0.65	0.78	0.71	0.31	0.44	0.28	0.44	0.34

Table 1: Performance on LC-Quad and QALD-7. The reported metrics are core chain accuracy (CCA), mean reciprocal rank (MRR) of the core chain rankings, as well as precision (P), recall (R), and the F1 of the execution results of the whole system.

		QALD-7	LC-QuAD
BERT	BERT	0.23	0.67
	Slot Matching (BERT)	0.18	0.68

**LSTM** 

Table 3: CCA for slot matching model, as proposed in Sec 4.2 initialized with the weights of BERT-Small, compared with regular transformers initialized with the same weights.

#### Maheshwari, Trivedi et al. Learning to Rank Query Graphs for Complex Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs. ISWC 2019

#### NL -> SPARQL pipelines

### NL 2 SPARQL

#### Pros

- Some supervised ML can be applied
- Transfer learning works
- Component ↑ -> Performance ↑
- Explainable query results

#### Cons

- Fast retrieval & communication to the KG is essential
- A **Snowball effect** of components error propagation
- Brute-force heuristics, e.g., extract a
   2-hop subgraph & rank; extract all
   k-long relation paths and rank

#### NL -> SPARQL pipelines



Play around today with DeepPavlov!

### **Template-based KGQA**

•••

from deeppavlov import configs, build\_model

kbqa\_model = build\_model(configs.kbqa.kbqa\_cq, download=True)
kbqa\_model(['Magnus Carlsen is a part of what sport?'])
>>> ["chess"]

kbqa\_model = build\_model(configs.kbqa.kbqa\_cq\_rus, download=True)
kbqa\_model(['Когда родился Пушкин?'])
>>> ["1799-05-26"]

Large KGs (Wikidata-scale)

Smaller KGs

#### **Pre-defined SPARQL templates**

#### NL -> SPARQL pipelines

#### Neural Multi-Hop Reasoning

#### **Query Embedding**

#### Neural Multi-Hop Reasoning & Query Embedding

#### Where did Canadian citizens with Turing Award graduate?



#### KGs are sparse and incomplete



#### Neural Multi-Hop Reasoning & Query Embedding

Where did Canadian citizens with Turing Award graduate?



#### **Execution in a vector space**

Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 Daza et al. Message Passing Query Embedding. GRL @ ICML 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu





### **EmbedKGQA**

#### Neural Multi-Hop Reasoning

#### Idea: score a triple (entity, question, answer)



Saxena et al. Improving Multi-hop Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs using Knowledge Base Embeddings. ACL 2020



#### Additional training task: 1-hop link prediction

Model			aQA KG	-Full	MetaQA KG-50			
		1-hop	2-hop	3-hop	1-hop	2-hop	3-hop	
471	VRN	97.5	89.9	62.5	-	-	-	
43k entities	GraftNet	97.0	94.8	77.7	64.0 (91.5)	52.6 (69.5)	59.2 (66.4)	
9 relations	PullNet	97.0	99.9	91.4	65.1 (92.4)	52.1 (90.4)	59.7 (85.2)	
<b>135k</b> triples	KV-Mem	96.2	82.7	48.9	63.6 (75.7)	41.8 (48.4)	37.6 (35.2)	
	EmbedKGQA (Ours)	97.5	98.8	94.8	83.9	91.8	70.3	
	Model	W	ebQSP	KG-Fu	ll WebQ	SP KG-50		
1.8M entities	KV-Mem		46	.7	32.7			
5.7M triples	GraftNet		66.4		48.2			
<b>8</b> GPUs ;)	PullNet		68	.1	50.1	(51.9)		
	EmbedKGQ	A	66	.6	53.2			

Saxena et al. Improving Multi-hop Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs using Knowledge Base Embeddings. ACL 2020



#### **Query Embedding**

Subset of SPARQL - EPFO queries: Conjunctive + disjunction





#### **Query Embedding**

#### Idea: represent entities as d-dimensional boxes!



Figure 2: The geometric intuition of the two operations and distance function in QUERY2BOX. (A) Projection generates a larger box with a translated center. (B) Intersection generates a smaller box lying inside the given set of boxes. (C) Distance  $dist_{box}$  is the weighted sum of  $dist_{outside}$  and  $dist_{inside}$ , where the latter is weighted less.



#### **Query Embedding**

#### Idea: represent entities as d-dimensional boxes!



Figure 2: The geometric intuition of the two operations and distance function in QUERY2BOX. (A) Projection generates a larger box with a translated center. (B) Intersection generates a smaller box lying inside the given set of boxes. (C) Distance  $dist_{box}$  is the weighted sum of  $dist_{outside}$  and  $dist_{inside}$ , where the latter is weighted less.

$$\operatorname{Cen}(\mathbf{p}_{\text{inter}}) = \sum_{i} \mathbf{a}_{i} \odot \operatorname{Cen}(\mathbf{p}_{i}), \ \mathbf{a}_{i} = \frac{\exp(\operatorname{MLP}(\mathbf{p}_{i}))}{\sum_{j} \exp(\operatorname{MLP}(\mathbf{p}_{j}))},$$
$$\operatorname{Off}(\mathbf{p}_{\text{inter}}) = \operatorname{Min}(\{\operatorname{Off}(\mathbf{p}_{1}), \dots, \operatorname{Off}(\mathbf{p}_{n})\}) \odot \sigma(\operatorname{DeepSets}(\{\mathbf{p}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{n}\})),$$

Intersection operator

Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020



Query Embedding

Theorem

Modeling any EPFO query needs O(|E|) params

**Query Embedding** 

Theorem

Approach

Modeling any EPFO query needs O(|E|) params

Convert all queries to the DNF (union as the last step)



Figure 3: Illustration of converting a computation graph of an EPFO query into an equivalent computation graph of the Disjunctive Normal Form.

Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020

#### **Query Embedding**



Table 2: H@3 results of QUERY2BOX vs. GQE on FB15k, FB15k-237 and NELL995.

Query2Box

#### **Query Embedding**



Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu

49 Sberloga Seminar 2020

#### **Query Embedding**



Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu

50 Sberloga Seminar 2020

#### **Query Embedding**



Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu

#### **Query Embedding**



Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu

#### **Query Embedding**



"List male instrumentalists who play string instruments"



Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu

#### **Query Embedding**





Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu

54 Sberloga Seminar 2020

#### **Query Embedding**



Ren et al. Query2box: Reasoning over Knowledge Graphs in Vector Space Using Box Embeddings. ICLR 2020 cs224w. snap.stanford.edu

55 Sberloga Seminar 2020

# Multi-hop Reasoning & Query Embedding

### **Neural Reasoning**

#### Pros

- No database & query engine needed
- No SPARQL / other queries
- We can work with incomplete KGs: infer new facts and predict links

#### Cons

- Hardly scalable to large graphs
- Often **not explainable** results
- Computationally **expensive**
- Problems handling **literals**

### **Challenges: Answer Verbalization**



Kacupaj et al. VQuAnDa: Verbalization QUestion ANswering Dataset. ESWC 2020

# **Challenges: Hyper-Relational KGs**

Where did Albert Einstein receive his degree in physics?



### **Multirelational GNN Encoders for KGs**

$$\mathbf{h}_{v}^{(k)} = f\left(\sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v)} \mathbf{W}^{(k)} \mathbf{h}_{u}^{(k-1)}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{v}^{(k)} = f\left(\sum_{(u,r)\in\mathcal{N}(v)} \mathbf{W}_{r}^{(k)} \mathbf{h}_{u}^{(k-1)}\right)$$

$$oldsymbol{h}_v = f\Biggl(\sum_{(u,r)\in\mathcal{N}(v)}oldsymbol{W}_{\lambda(r)}\phi(oldsymbol{x}_u,oldsymbol{z}_r)\Biggr)$$

Vanilla GCN [1]: no relations

R-GCN [2]: a whole matrix W per relation

CompGCN [3]: a vector z\_r per relation + composition of (h,r) + only 3 different W: input/output/self-loop

Kipf et al. Semi-supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR 2017
 Schlichtkrull et al. Modeling Relational Data with Graph Convolutional Networks. ESWC 2018
 Vashishth et al. Composition-Based Multi-Relational Graph Convolutional Networks. ICLR 2020

# **Embedding Hyper-Relational KGs**



$$oldsymbol{h}_v = figg(\sum_{(u,r)\in\mathcal{N}(v)}oldsymbol{W}_{\lambda(r)}\phi(oldsymbol{x}_u,oldsymbol{z}_r)igg)$$

B. Hyper-relational facts



Galkin et al. Message Passing for Hyper-Relational Knowledge Graphs. EMNLP 2020

• Qualifying relations and entities can be used as main terms in other facts

• Not all facts might have qualifiers

# **Embedding Hyper-Relational KGs**



$$oldsymbol{h}_v = figg(\sum_{(u,r)\in\mathcal{N}(v)}oldsymbol{W}_{\lambda(r)}\phi(oldsymbol{x}_u,oldsymbol{z}_r)igg)$$

B. Hyper-relational facts



Galkin et al. Message Passing for Hyper-Relational Knowledge Graphs. EMNLP 2020

$$\mathbf{h}_{v} = f\left(\sum_{(u,r)\in\mathcal{N}(v)} \mathbf{W}_{\lambda(r)}\phi_{r}(\mathbf{h}_{u},\gamma(\mathbf{h}_{r},\mathbf{h}_{q})_{vu})\right)$$

61 Sberloga Seminar 2020

# **StarE: Embedding Hyper-Relational KGs**



# **Hyper-Relational KGs: Link Prediction**

$Dataset \rightarrow$	WD50K			WD50K (33)			WD50K (66)			WD50K (100)		
Method $\downarrow$	MRR	H@1	H@10	MRR	H@1	H@10	MRR	H@1	H@10	MRR	H@1	H@10
Baseline (Transformer (T))	0.275	0.207	0.404	0.218	0.158	0.334	0.270	0.197	0.417	0.351	0.261	0.530
STARE $(T)$ + Transformer $(T)$	0.308	0.228	0.465	0.246	0.173	0.388	0.297	0.212	0.470	0.380	0.276	0.584
NaLP-Fix	0.177	0.131	0.264	0.204	0.164	0.277	0.334	0.284	0.423	0.458	0.398	0.563
HINGE	0.243	0.176	0.377	0.253	0.190	0.372	0.378	0.307	0.512	0.492	0.417	0.636
Baseline (Transformer (H))	0.286	0.222	0.406	0.276	0.227	0.371	0.404	0.352	0.502	0.562	0.499	0.677
STARE (H) + Transformer(H)	0.349	0.271	0.496	0.331	0.268	0.451	0.481	0.420	0.594	0.654	0.588	0.777

- + Hyper-relational models effectively leverage qualifiers to improve predictions
- **\*** The **more** hyper-relational facts the **better** are predictions
- The improvement upon triple-only models grows with the ratio of hyper-relational edges in the KG

### **Challenges: Dialogue & Sequential QA**

	Turn	Books	Movies	Soccer	Music
	$q^0$	When was the first book of the book series The Dwarves published?	Who played the joker in The Dark Knight?	Which European team did Diego Costa represent in the year 2018?	Led Zeppelin had how many band members?
	$a^0$	2003	Heath Ledger	Atlético Madrid	4
	$q^1$	What is the name of the sec- ond book?	When did he die?	Did they win the Super Cup the previous year?	Which was released first: Houses of the Holy or Phys- ical Graffiti?
	$a^1$	The War of the Dwarves	22 January 2008	No	Houses of the Holy
	$q^2$	Who is the author?	Batman actor?	Which club was the win- ner?	Is the rain song and immi- grant song there?
	$a^2$	Markus Heitz	Christian Bale	Real Madrid C.F.	No
	$q^3$	In which city was he born?	Director?	Which English club did Costa play for before return- ing to Atlético Madrid?	Who wrote those songs?
	$a^3$	Homburg	Christopher Nolan	Chelsea F.C.	Jimmy Page
	$q^4$	When was he born?	Sequel name?	Which stadium is this club's home ground?	Name of his previous band?
$\searrow$	$a^4$	10 October 1971	The Dark Knight Rises	Stamford Bridge	The Yardbirds

Christmann et al. Look before you Hop: Conversational Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs Using Judicious Context Expansion. CIKM 2019

KG



# Thanks!





@michael\_galkin



@mgalkin



mikhail.galkin@iais.fraunhofer.de

